

引用文献 (2010)

- (1) Brooks, J.L. & Driver, J. 2010 Grouping puts figure-ground assignment in context by constraining propagation of edge assignment. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 2010, 72, 1053-1069.
- (2) Cate, A.D. & Behrmann, M. 2010 Perceiving parts and shapes from concave surfaces. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 2010, 72, 153-167.
- (3) Champion, R.A. & Warren, P.A., Ground-plane influences on size estimation in early visual processing. *Vision Research* 50, 1510-1518.
- (4) Di Luca, M., Domini, F. & Caudek, C. Inconsistency of perceived 3D shape. *Vision Research* 50, 1519-1531.
- (5) Edwards, M., O'Mahony, S., Ibbotson, M.R. & Kohlhagen, S. 2010 Vestibular stimulation affects optic-flow sensitivity. *Perception*, 39, 1303-1310.
- (6) Gantz, L. & Bedell, H.E. 2010 Transfer of perceptual learning of depth discrimination between local and global stereograms. *Vision Research* 50, 1891-1899.
- (7) Gardner, J., Austerweil, J.L. & Palmer, S.E. 2010 Vertical position as a cue to pictorial depth: Height in the picture plane versus distance to the horizon. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 72, 445-453.
- (8) Gillam, G., Palmisano, S.A. & Govan, D.G. 2010 Depth interval estimates from motion parallax and binocular disparity beyond interaction space. *Perception*, 40, 39-49
- (9) Gonzalez, E.G., Allison, R.S., Ono, H. & Vinnikov, M. 2010 Cue conflict between disparity change and looming in the perception of motion in depth. *Vision Research*, 50, 136-143.
- (10) Hemker, L. & Kavšek, M. 2010 The relative contribution of relative height, linear perspective, and texture gradients to pictorial depth perception in 7-month-old infants. *Perception*, 39, 1476-1490.
- (11) Ito, H. 2010 Depth perception through circular movements of dots. *Perception*, 39, 918-930.
- (12) Kalar, D.J., Garrigan, P., Wickens, T.D., Hilger, J.D. & Kellman, P.J. 2011 A unified model of illusory and occluded contour interpolation. *Vision Research* 50, 284-299.
- (13) Kihara, K. & Takeda, Y. 2010 Time course of the integration of spatial frequency-based information in natural scenes. *Vision Research* 50, 2158-2162.
- (14) Kogo, N., Gool, L.V. & Wagemans, J. 2010 Linking depth to lightness and anchoring within the differentiation-integration formalism. *Vision Research* 50, 1486-1500.
- (15) Libertus, K. & Needham, A. 2010 Teach to reach: The effects of active vs. passive reaching experiences on action and perception. *Vision Research* 50, 2750-2757.
- (16) Ling, S., Hubert-Wallander, B. & Blake, R. 2010 Detecting contrast changes in invisible patterns during binocular rivalry. *Vision Research* 50, 2421-2429.
- (17) Mamassian, P. & Montalembert, M.de. 2010 A simple model of the vertical-horizontal illusion. *Vision Research* 50, 956-962.

- (18) Meijer, F. & van den Broek, E.L. 2010 Representing 3D virtual objects: Interaction between visuo-spatial ability and type of exploration. *Vision Research* 50, 630-635.
- (19) Ni, R., Chen, L. & Andersen, G.J. 2010 Visual constraints for the perception of quantitative depth from temporal interocular unmatched features. *Vision Research* 50, 1571-1580.
- (20) Norman, J.F., Burton, C.L. & Best, L.A. 2010 Modulatory effects of binocular disparity and aging upon the perception of speed. *Vision Research* 50, 65-71.
- (21) O'Kane, L.M. & Hibbard, P.B. 2010 Contextual effects on perceived three-dimensional shape. *Vision Research* 50, 1095-1100.
- (22) Pizlo, Z., Sawada, T., Li, Y., Kropatsch, W.G., Steinman, R.M. 2010 New approach to the perception of 3D shape based on veridicality, complexity, symmetry and volume. *Vision Research* 50, 1-11.
- (23) Peng, Q. & Shi, B.E. 2010 The changing disparity energy model. *Vision Research* 50, 181-192.
- (24) Reppas, I., Fougine, D. & Schmidt, W. 2010 How does attention spread across objects oriented in depth? *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 72, 912-925.
- (25) Rogers, B. & Gyani, A. 2010 Binocular disparities, motion parallax, and geometric perspective in Patrick Hughes's 'reverspectives': Theoretical analysis and empirical findings. *Perception*, 39, 330-348.
- (26) Seno, T., Ito, H., Sunaga, S. & Nakamura, S. 2010 Temporonasal motion projected on the nasal retina underlies expansion-contraction asymmetry in vection. *Vision Research* 50, 1131-1139.
- (27) Sousa, R., Brenner, E. & Smeets, J.B.J. 2010 A new binocular cue for absolute distance: Disparity relative to the most distant structure. *Vision Research* 50, 1786-1792.
- (28) Straube, S., Grimsen, C. & Fahle, M. 2010 Electrophysiological correlates of figure-ground segregation directly reflect perceptual saliency. *Vision Research* 50, 509-521.
- (29) Stuit, S.M., Verstraten, F.A.J. & Paffen, C.L.E. Saliency in a suppressed image affects the spatial origin of perceptual alternations during binocular rivalry. *Vision Research* 50, 1913-1921.
- (30) Su, Y.R., He, Z.J. & Ooi, T.L. 2010 The magnitude and dynamics of interocular suppression affected by monocular boundary contour and conflicting local features. *Vision Research* 50, 2037-2047.
- (31) Tozawa, J., 2010, "Role of a texture gradient in the perception of relative size" *Perception*, 39, 641-660.
- (32) Van Doorn, G.H., Richardson, B.L., Wuillemin, D.B. & Symmons, M.A. 2010 Visual and haptic influence on perception of stimulus size. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 72, 813-822.
- (33) Vergeer, M. & van Lier, R. 2010 Feature-based activation and suppression during binocular rivalry. *Vision Research* 50, 743-749.
- (34) Xu, J.P., He, Z.J. & Ooi, T.L. 2010 Surface boundary contour strengthens image dominance in binocular competition. *Vision Research* 50, 155-170.

引用文献 (2011)

- (1) Asakura, N. & Inui, T. 2011 Disambiguation of mental rotation by spatial frames of reference. *i-Perception*, 2, 477-485.
- (2) Baker, T.J., Norcia, A.M. & Candy, T.R. 2011 Orientation tuning in the visual cortex of 3-month-old human infants. *Vision Research* 51, 470-478.
- (3) Blake, R. & Wilson, H. 2011 Binocular vision. *Vision Research* 51, 754-770
- (4) Braddick, O. & Atkinson, J. 2011 Development of human visual function. *Vision Research* 51, 1588-1609.
- (5) Corrow, S., Granrud, C. E., Mathison, J. & Yonas, A. 2011, Six-month-old infants perceive the hollow-face illusion. *Perception*, 40, 1376-1383.
- (6) Dearing, R.R. & Harris, L.R. 2011 The contribution of different parts of the visual field to the perception of upright. *Vision Research* 51, 2207-2215.
- (7) Durgin, F. H., & Li, Z. 2011 Perceptual scale expansion: An efficient angular coding strategy for locomotor space. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*. 73, 1856-1870.
- (8) Gillam, B. 2011 Occlusion issues in early Renaissance art. *i-Perception*, 2, 1076-1097.
- (9) Gillam B., Palmisano, S. A. & Govan, D. G. 2011 Depth interval estimates from motion parallax and binocular disparity beyond interaction space. *Perception*, 40, 39-49.
- (10) Guzzon, D. & Casco, C. 2011 Visual experience on texture segmentation without awareness. *Vision Research* 51, 2509-2516.
- (11) Harrison, S.J., Backus, B.T. & Jain, A. 2011 Disambiguation of Necker cube rotation by monocular and binocular depth cues: Relative effectiveness for establishing long-term bias. *Vision Research* 51, 978-986.
- (12) Hayward, C., Truong, G., Partanen, M. & Giasch, D. 2011 Effects of speed, age, and amblyopia on the perception of motion-defined form. *Vision Research* 51, 2216-2223.
- (13) Kahrimanovic, M., Tiest, W.M.B. & Kappers, A.M.L. 2011 Discrimination thresholds for haptic perception of volume, surface area, and weight. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 73, 2649-2656.
- (14) Kavšek, M. 2011 Infants' responsiveness to lightness changes on a dynamic three-dimensional surface. *Vision Research* 51, 2398-2404.
- (15) Lawson, R. & Bracken, S. 2011 Haptic object recognition: How important are depth cues and plane orientation? *Perception* 40, 576-597.
- (16) Levi, D.M., McKee, S.P. & Movshon, J.A. 2011 Visual deficits in anisometropia. *Vision Research* 51, 48-57.
- (17) Li, Z., Phillips, J. & Durgin, F.H. 2011 The underestimation of egocentric distance: evidence from frontal matching tasks. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 73, 2205-2217.

- (18) Matthews,H.,Hill,H. & Palmisano,S. 2011 Binocular disparity magnitude affects perceived depth magnitude despite inversion of depth order. *Perception*, 40, 975-988.
- (19)Marlow, P.& Gillam, B. J. 2011 Stereopsis loses dominance over relative size as target separation increases. *Perception*, 40, 1413-1427.
- (20) Meijer,F.,& Van der Lubbe,R.H.J. 2011 Active exploration improves perceptual sensitivity for virtual 3D objects in visual recognition tasks. *Vision Research* 51, 2431-2439.
- (21) Mitchell,M.E., Kennie,J. & Duffy,K.R. 2011 Preference for binocular concordant visual input in early postnatal development remains despite prior monocular deprivation. *Vision Research* 51, 1351-1359.
- (22) Nandakumar, C., Torralba, A.& Malik, J. 2011 How little do we need for 3-D shape perception? *Perception*, 40, 257-271.
- (23) Norman,J.F. & Bartholomew,A.N.2011 Blindness enhances tactile acuity and haptic 3-D shape discrimination. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 73,2323-2331.
- (24) Norman,J.F.,Kappers,A.M.L.,Beers,A.M.Scott,A.K.,Norman,H. & Koenderink,J.L. 2011 Aging and the haptic perception of 3D surface shape. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 73,908-918.
- (25) Oh, S. 2011 The eyeglass reversal. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 73, 1336-1343.
- (26) Paffen ,C.L.E., Hooge,I.T.C., Benjamins,J.S. & Hogendoorn,H. 2011 A search asymmetry for interocular conflict. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*,73,1042-1053.
- (27) Pallett,Pm. & MacLeod,D.I.A. 2011 Seeing faces as objects: no face inversion effect with geometrical discrimination. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 73, 504-520.
- (28) Plaisier,M.A. & Smeets,J.B.J. 2011 Haptic subitizing across the fingers. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 73,1579-1585.
- (29) Raghunandan,A. 2011 Binocular capture: The effects of spatial frequency and contrast polarity of the monocular target. *Vision Research*, 51,2369-2377.
- (30) Ritchie, K. L., Bannerman, R. L. & Sahraie, A. 2012, The effect of fear in the periphery in binocular rivalry. *Perception*, 40, 1395-1401.
- (31) Roelfsema,P.R.& Houtkamp,R. 2011 Incremental grouping of image elements in vision. *Attention , Perception, & Psychophysics*, 73, 2542-2572.
- (32) Rychkova,S. & Ninio,J. 2011 Alternation frequency thresholds for stereopsis as a technique for exploring stereoscopic difficulties. *i-Perception*,2,50-68.
- (33) Schofield,A.J.,Rock,P.B. & Georgeson,M.A. Sun and sky: Does human vision assume a mixture of point and diffuse illumination when interpreting shape-from-shading? *Vision Research*, 51, 2317-2330.
- (34) Schenk, T., Franz,V. & Bruno, N. 2011 Vision-for-perception and vision-for-action: Which model

is compatible with the available psychophysical and neuropsychological data? *Vision Research*, 51, 812-818.

- (35) Sridhar,D. & Bedell, H.E. 2011 Relative contributions of the two eyes to perceived egocentric visual direction in normal binocular vision. *Vision Research*, 51, 1075-1085.
- (36) Schwaninger,A. & Yang,J. 2011 The application of 3D representations in face recognition. *Vision Research* 51, 969-977.
- (37) Su,Y.R., He,Z.J. & Ooi,T.L. 2011 Revealing boundary-contour based surface representation through the time course of binocular rivalry. *Vision Research* 51, 1288-1296.
- (38)Tsirlin,I., Wilcox,L.M. & Allison,R.S. 2011 Disparity biasing in depth from monocular occlusions. *Vision Research* 51, 1699-1711.
- (39) Tsuruhara,A., Nakato,E., Otuka,Y., Kanazawa,S., Yamaguchi,M. & Hill,H. 2011 The hollow-face illusion in infancy: do infants see a screen based rotating hollow mask as hollow? *i-Perception*,2,418-427.
- (40) van der Kooij,K., Domini,F. & te Pas,S.F. 2011 Surface boundaries do not constrain a depth aftereffect. *Vision Research* 51, 138-146.
- (41)van Doorn,A., Koenderink,J. & Wagemans, J. 2011 Rank order scaling of pictorial depth. *i-Perception*, 2,724-744.
- (42)van Mierlo ,C.M.,Brenner,E. & Smeets,J.B.J. 2011 Better performance with two eyes than with one in stereo-blind subjects'judgments of motion in depth. *Vision Research*,51, 1249-1253.
- (43)Wagemans,J.,van Doorn,A.J. & Koenderink,J.J. 2011 Measuring 3D point configurations in pictorial space. *i-Perception*, 2, 77-111.
- (44)Wagemans,J.,van Doorn,A.J. & Koenderink,J.J. 2011 Pictorial depth probed through relative sizes. *i-Perception*, 2, 992-1013.
- (45) Wandell,B.A. & Winawer,J. 2011 Imaging retinotopic maps in the human brain. *Vision Research* 51, 718-737.
- (46) Westheimer,G 2011 Path dissociation of visual signals entering the cortex: Disparity, contour orientation and position. *Vision Research* 51, 1058-1063.
- (47)Westwood,D.A. & Goodale,M.A. 2011 Converging evidence for diverging pathways: Neuropsychology and psychophysics tell the same story. *Vision Research*, 51,804-811.
- (48)Zannoli,M. & Mamassian,P. 2011 The role of transparency in da Vinci stereopsis. *Vision Research*, 51, 2186-2197.
- (49)Zhaoping,L. & Meng,G. 2011 Dichoptic completion, rather than binocular rivalry or binocular summation. *i-Perception*, 2, 611-614.

引用文献 (2012)

- (1)Aaen-Stockdale,C., Ledgeway,T., McGraw,P. & Hess,R. F. 2012 Interaction of first- and second-order signals in the extraction of global-motion and optic-flow. *Vision Research*, 68, 28-39.
- (2)Anstis,S. & Rogers,B. 2012 Binocular fusion of luminance, color, motion and flicker. Two eyes are worse than one. *Vision Research*, 52, 47-53.
- (3)Aytekin,M & Rucci,M. 2012 Motion parallax from microscopic head movements during visual fixation. *Vision Research*, 70, 7-17.
- (4)Baker,D.H. & Meese,T.S. 2012 Interocular transfer of spatial adaptation is weak at low spatial frequencies. *Vision Research*, 63,81-87.
- (5)Buckthout,A. & Mendola,J.D. 2012 How simultaneous is the perception of binocular depth and rivalry in plaid stimuli? *i-Perception*,3, 305–315.
- (6)Chopin,A., Mamassian,P.& Blake,R. 2012 Stereopsis and binocular rivalry are based on perceived rather than physical orientations. *Vision Research*, 63,63-68.
- (7)Higashiyam,A. & Shimono,K. 2012 Apparent depth of pictures reflected by a mirror: The plastic effect. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 74, 1522-1532.
- (8)Hunt,J.J., Mattingley,J.B.& Goodhill,G.J. 2012 Randomly oriented edge arrangements dominate naturalistic arrangements in binocular rivalry. *Vision Research*, 64, 49-55.
- (9)Khuu,S.K. & Khambiye,S.2012 The influence of shape-from-shading information on the perception of global motion. *Vision Research*, 52,1-10.
- (10)Konkle,T. & Oliva,A. 2012 A Familiar-Size Stroop Effect:Real-World Size Is an Automatic Property of Object Representation. *Journal of Experimental Psychology Human Perception and Performance*,38,561-569.
- (11)Li,Z. & Durgin,F.H. 2012 A comparison of two theories of perceived distance on the ground plane: The angular expansion hypothesis and the intrinsic bias hypothesis. *i-Perception*,3,368-383.
- (12) Marlow, P. 2011 Surface edges mitigate the disparity gradient constraint on binocular fusion and visual direction. *Vision Research*, 52, 38-46.
- (13)Matthews, H., Hill, H. & Palmisano, S. 2012, Independent effects of local and global binocular disparity on the perceived convexity of stereoscopically presented faces in scenes. *Perception*, 41,168–174.
- (14)Nawrot,M. & Stroyan,K. 2012 Integration time for the perception of depth from motion parallax. *Vision Research*, 59,64-71.
- (15)Norman,J.F.,Holmin,J.S., Beers,A.M., Cheeseman, J.R.,Ronning,C., Stethen,A.G. & Frost,A.L. 2012 Aging and the discrimination of 3-D shape from motion and binocular disparity.74,1512-1521.

- (16) Scherzer, T.R. & Ekroll, V. 2012 Occlusion improves the interpolation of sampled motion. *Vision Research*, 62, 17-25.
- (17) Silveira, S., Graupmann, V., Frey, D., Blautzik, J., Meindl, T., Reiser, M., Chen, C., Wang, Y., Bao, Y., Pöppel, E. & Gutyrchik, E. 2012 Matching reality in the arts: Self-referential neural processing of naturalistic compared to surrealistic images. *Perception*, 41, 569-576.
- (18) Sridhar, D. & Bedell, H.E. 2012 Binocular retinal image differences influence eye-position signals for perceived visual direction. *Vision Research*, 62, 220-227.
- (19) van Doorn, A.J., Koenderink, J.J., Leyssen, M.H.R. & Wagemans, J. 2012 Interaction of depth probes and style of depiction. *i-Perception*, 3, 528-540.
- (20) Yamashita, W., Kanazawa, S. & Yamaguchi, M.K. 2012 The effect of gaze direction on three-dimensional face recognition in infants. *Vision Research*, 68, 14-18.

引用文献 (2013)

- (1) Aydin, S., Strang, N.C. & Manahilov, V. 2013 Age-related deficits in attentional control of perceptual rivalry. *Vision Research*, 77, 32-40.
- (2) Bell, J., Kanji, J. & Kingdom, F.A.A. 2013 Discrimination of rotated-in-depth curves is facilitated by stereoscopic cues, but curvature is not tuned for stereoscopic rotation-in-depth. *Vision Research*, 77, 14-20
- (3) Casanova, J.A.A., Campos, J.A.A., Sánchez, M.M. & Supèr, H. 2013 Onset time of binocular rivalry and duration of inter-dominance periods as psychophysical markers of ADHD. *Perception*, 42, 16 - 27.
- (4) de Heering, A. & Maurer, D. 2013 The effect of spatial frequency on perceptual learning of inverted faces. *Vision Research*, 86, 107-114
- (5) Devinck, F. & Spillmann, L. 2013 Multiple cues add up in defining a figure on a ground. *Vision Research*, 77, 51-58.
- (6) Dobias, J.J. & Pappas, T.V. 2013 Recovering 3-D shape: Roles of absolute and relative disparity, retinal size, and viewing distance as studied with reverse-perspective stimuli. *Perception*, 42(4), 430 - 446.
- (7) Doerschner, K., Yilmaz, O., Kucukoglu, G. & Fleming, R.W. 2013 Effects of surface reflectance and 3D shape on perceived rotation axis. *Journal of Vision*, 13(11):8, 1-23.
- (8) Faisman, A. & Langer, M.S. 2013 Qualitative shape from shading, highlights, and mirror reflections. *Journal of Vision*, 13(5):10, 1-16.
- (9) Finlayson, N.J., Remington, R.W., Retell, J.D. & Grove, P.M. 2013 Segmentation by depth does not always facilitate visual search. *Journal of Vision*, 13(8):11, 1-14.
- (10) Froyen, V., Feldman, J. & Singh, M. 2013 Rotating columns: Relating structure-from-motion, accretion/deletion, and figure/ground. *Journal of Vision*, 13(10):6, 1-12
- (11) George, J.M., Johnson, J.I. & Nawrot, M. 2013 In pursuit of perspective: Does vertical perspective disambiguate depth from motion parallax? *Perception* 42(6), 631-641.

- (12) Giaschi, D., Narasimhan, S., Solski, A., Harrison, E. & Wilcox, L.M. 2013 On the typical development of stereopsis: Fine and coarse processing. *Vision Research*, 89, 65-71.
- (13) Giaschi, D., Lo, R., Narasimhan, S., Lyons, C. & Wilcox, L.M. 2013 Sparing of coarse stereopsis in stereodeficient children with a history of amblyopia. *Journal of Vision*, 13(10):17, 1-15.
- (14) Horwood, A.M. & Riddell, P.M. 2013 Developmental changes in the balance of disparity, blur, and looming/proximity cues to drive ocular alignment and focus. *Perception*, 42(7) 693 - 715.
- (15) Hosokawa, K., Maruya, K. & Sato, T. 2013 Temporal characteristics of depth perception from motion parallax. *Journal of Vision*, 13(1):16, 1-8.
- (16) Hutchinson, C.V., Ledgeway, T., Allen, H.A., Long, M.D. & Arena, A. 2013 Binocular summation of second-order global motion signals in human vision. *Vision Research* 84, 16-25.
- (17) Jain, A. & Backus, B.T. 2013 Generalization of cue recruitment to non-moving stimuli: Location and surface-texture contingent biases for 3-D shape perception. *Vision Research* 82, 13-21.
- (18) Kavšek, M. 2013 Infants' responsiveness to rivalrous gratings. *Vision Research*, 76, 50-59.
- (19) Kavšek, M. & Granrud, C.E. 2013 The ground is dominant in infants' perception of relative distance. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 75, 341-348.
- (20) Kerrigan, I.S. & Adams, W.J. 2013 Highlights, disparity, and perceived gloss with convex and concave surfaces. *Journal of Vision*, 13(1):9, 1-10.
- (21) Khuu, S.K. & Kim, D.D. 2013 Using the kinetic Zollner illusion to quantify the interaction between form and motion information in depth. *Vision Research*, 83, 48-55.
- (22) Kim, S., Shin, E. & Chong, S.H. 2013 When crowding meets binocular rivalry: Challenges for object perception. *Vision Research*, 76, 134-143.
- (23) Kountouriotis, G.K. & Wilkie, R.M. 2013 Displaying optic flow to simulate locomotion:

- Comparing heading and steering. *i-Perception*,4,333-346.
- (24) Li, Z. & Durgin, F.H. 2013 Depth compression based on mis-scaling of binocular disparity may contribute to angular expansion in perceived optical slant. *Journal of Vision*,13(12):3, 1-18.
- (25) Li, X., Huang, A.E., Altschuler, E.L. & Tyler, C.W. 2013 Depth spreading through empty space induced by sparse disparity cues. *Journal of Vision*,13(10):7,1-11.
- (26) Lunghi, C., Burr, D. & Morrone, M.C. 2013 Long-term effects of monocular deprivation revealed with binocular rivalry gratings modulated in luminance and in color. *Journal of Vision*, 13(6):1, 1-15.
- (27) Mitsudo, H., Sakai, A. & Kaneko, H. 2013 Vertical size disparity and the correction of stereo correspondence. *Perception*, 42(4), 385 - 400.
- (28) Nefs, H.T., van Bilsen, A., Pont, S.C., de Ridder, H., Wijntjes, M.A.W. & van Doorn, A.J. 2013 Perception of length to width relations of city squares. *i-Perception*,4,111-121.
- (29) Norman, J.F., Cheeseman, J.R., Pyles, J., Baxter, M.W., Thomason, K.E. & Calloway, A.B. 2013 The effect of age upon the perception of 3-D shape from motion. *Vision Research* 93. 54-61.
- (30) O'Hare, L., Zhang, T., Nefs, H.T. & Hibbard, P.B. 2013 Visual discomfort and depth-of-field. *i-Perception*, Vol.4 156-169.
- (31) Platonov, A. & Goossens, J. 2013 The role of lateral inhibition in binocular motion rivalry. *Journal of Vision*,13(6):12, 1-16.
- (32) Qian, J. & Petrov, Y. 2013 Depth perception in the framework of General Object Constancy. *Journal of Vision*, 13(11):7, 1-9
- (33) Reynaud, A., Zhou, J. & Hess, R.F. 2013 Stereopsis and mean luminance. *Journal of Vision*, 13(11):1,1-11.
- (34) Read, J.C.A., Begum, S.H., McDonald, A. & Trowbridge, J. 2013 The binocular advantage in visuomotor involving task. *i-Perception*,4,101-110.

- (35) Reavis, E.A., Kohler, P.J., Caplovitz, G.P., Wheatley, T.P. & Tse, P.U. 2013 Effects of attention on visual experience during monocular rivalry. *Vision Research*, 83, 76–81.
- (36) Rémy, F., Saint-Aubert, L., Bacon-Macé, N., Vayssière, N., Barbeau, E. & Fabre-Thorpe, M. 2013 Object recognition in congruent and incongruent natural scenes: A life-span study. *Vision Research*, 91, 36–44.
- (37) Ritchie, K.L., Bannerman, R.L., Turk, D.J. & Sahraie, A. 2013 Eye rivalry and object rivalry in the intact and split-brain. *Vision Research*, 91, 102–107.
- (38) Robinson, A.E. & MacLeod, D.I.A. 2013 Depth and luminance edges attract. *Journal of Vision*, 13(11):3, 1–13.
- (39) Robinson, A., Jain, A., Scott, M., MacLeod, D. & Nguyen, T. 2013 Apparent sharpness of 3D video when one eye's view is more blurry. *i-Perception*, 4, 456–467.
- (40) Said, C.P., Egan, R.D., Minshew, N.J., Behrmann, M. & Heeger, D.J. 2013 Normal binocular rivalry in autism: Implications for the excitation/inhibition imbalance hypothesis. *Vision Research*, 77, 59–66.
- (41) Scarfe, P. & Hibbard, P.B. 2013 Reverse correlation reveals how observers sample visual information when estimating three-dimensional shape. *Vision Research*, 86, 115–127.
- (42) Serrano-Pedraza, I., Brash, C. & Read, J.C.A. 2013 Testing the horizontal-vertical stereo anisotropy with the critical-band masking paradigm. *Journal of Vision*, 13(11):15, 1–15
- (43) Šikl, R., Šimec, M., Porubanová-Norquist, M., Bezdíc, O., Kremlác, J., Stodu, P. & Ostrovsky, Y. 2013 Vision after 53 years of blindness. *i-Perception*, 4, 498–507.
- (44) Sousa, R., Smeets, J.B.J. & Brenner, E. 2013 The influence of previously seen objects' sizes in distance judgments. *Journal of Vision*, 13(2):2, 1–8.
- (45) Takase, S., Yukumatsu, S. & Bingushi, K. 2013 Perceptual dominance during binocular rivalry is prolonged by a dynamic surround. *Vision Research*, 92, 33–38
- (46) Takezawa, T. 2013 Perceived relative distance depends on the size ratio of targets in photographs. *Perception* 42, 282–293.

- (47) Toet, A. & Tak, S. 2013 Look out, there is a triangle behind you. The effect of primitive geometric shapes on perceived facial dominance. *i-Perception*, 4, 53–56.
- (48) Valsecchi, V., Caziot, B., Backus, B.T. & Gegenfurtner, K.R. 2013 The role of binocular disparity in rapid scene and pattern recognition. *i-Perception*, 4, 122-136.
- (49) Vancleef, K., Putzeys, P., Gheorghiu, E., Sassi, M., Machilsen, B. & Wagemans, J. 2013 Spatial arrangement in texture discrimination and texture segregation. *i-Perception*, 4, 36–52.
- (50) Wallace, D.J., Greenberg, D.S., Sawinsk, J., Rulla, S., Notaro, G. & Kerr, J.N.D. 2013 Rats maintain an overhead binocular field at the expense of constant fusion. *Nature*, 498, 65-69.
- (51) Westheimer, G. 2013 Clinical evaluation of stereopsis. *Vision Research*, 90, 38–42.
- (52) Witz, N. & Hess, R.F. 2013 Mechanisms underlying global stereopsis in fovea and periphery. *Vision Research*, 87, 10–21.
- (53) Yoonessi, A. & Baker, C.L.Jr. 2013 Depth perception from dynamic occlusion in motion parallax: Roles of expansion-compression versus accretion-deletion. *Journal of Vision*, 13(12):10, 1–16.

引用文献 (2014)

- (1)Barendregt,M., Dumoulin,S.O. & Rokers,B. 2014 Stereomotion scotomas occur after binocular combination. *Vision Research* 105,92–99.
- (2)Burge,J. & Geisler,W.S. 2014 Optimal disparity estimation in natural stereo images. *Journal of Vision*,14(2):1, 1–18.
- (3)Koenderink,J., van Doorn,A. & Wagemans,J. 2014 Local shape of pictorial relief. *i-Perception*, 5,188–204.
- (4)Deas,L.M. & Wilcox,L.M. 2014 Gestalt grouping via closure degrades suprathereshold depth percepts. *Journal of Vision* 14(9):14, 1–13.
- (5)Dobkins,K. & Harms. R. 2014 The face inversion effect in infants is driven by high, and not low, spatial frequencies. *Journal of Vision*, 14(1):1, 1–17.
- (6)Fantoni,C, Caudek,C & Domini,F. 2014 Misperception of rigidity from actively generated optic flow. *Journal of Vision* 14(3):10, 1–22.
- (7)Farell,B. & Ng,C.2014 Perceived depth in non-transitive stereo displays. *Vision Research*,105,137–150.
- (8)Fesi,J,D,Thomas,A.L. & Gilmore,R.O. 2014 Cortical responses to optic flow and motion contrast across patterns and speeds. *Vision Research*,100,56–71
- (9)Gajewski,D.A., Wallin,C.P.& Philbeck,J.W. 2014 Gaze behavior and the perception of egocentric distance. *Journal of Vision*,14(1):20, 1–19.
- (10)Harris,J.M.2014 Volume perception: Disparity extraction and depth representation in complex three-dimensional environments. *Journal of Vision*,14(12):11, 1–16.
- (11)Harris,L.R. & Mander,C. 2014 Perceived distance depends on the orientation of both the body and the visual environment. *Journal of Vision*, 14(12):17, 1–8.
- (12)Howard,I.P.,Fujii,Y. & Allison,R.S. 2014 Interactions between cues to visual motion in depth. *Journal of Vision*,14(2):14, 1–16.
- (13)Ivanov,I.V., Kramer,D.J. & Mullen,K.T. 2014 The role of the foreshortening cue in the perception of 3D object slant. *Vision Research*,94,41–50.
- (14)Kavšek,M. 2014 Infants' discrimination of crossed and uncrossed horizontal disparity. *Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 76, 1429-1436.
- (15)Khuu,S.K.,Gordon,J.,Balcomb,K.& Kim,J. 2014 The perception of three-dimensional cast-shadow structure is dependent on visual awareness. *Journal of Vision*,14(3):25,1–16.
- (16)Kim,J., Kane,D. & Banks,M.S. 2014 The rate of change of vergence-accommodation conflict affects visual discomfort. *Vision Research* 105, 159–165.
- (17)Kim,J. & Khuu,S. 2014 A new spin on vection in depth. *Journal of Vision*, 14(5):5, 1–10.

- (18) Kogo, N., Drozdowska, A., Zaenen, P., Alp, N. & Wagemans, J. 2014 *Vision Research*, 96, 53–64.
- (19) Leveille, J., Myers, E. & Yazdanbakhsh, A. 2014 Object-centered reference frames in depth as revealed by induced motion. *Journal of Vision*, 14(3):15, 1–11.
- (20) Peng, Q. & Shi, B.E. 2014 Neural population models for perception of motion in depth. *Vision Research*, 101, 11–31.
- (21) Perdreau, F. & Cavanagh, P. 2014 Drawing skill is related to the efficiency of encoding object structure. *i-Perception* 5, 101–119.
- (22) Sakano, U. & Allison, R.S. 2014 Aftereffect of motion-in-depth based on binocular cues: Effects of adaptation duration, interocular correlation, and temporal correlation. *Journal of Vision*, 14(8):21, 1–14
- (23) Scocchia, V., Valsecchi, M., Gegenfurtner, K.R. & Triesch, J. 2014 Differential effects of visual attention and working memory on binocular rivalry. *Journal of Vision*, 14(5):13, 1–15.
- (24) Sweeney, L.E., Seidel, D., Day, M. & Gray, L.S. 2014 Quantifying interactions between accommodation and vergence in a binocularly normal population. *Vision Research* 105, 121–129.
- (25) Todd, J.T., Eagan, E.J.L. & Phillips, F. 2014 Is the perception of 3D shape from shading based on assumed reflectance and illumination? *i-Perception*, 5, pages 497–514.
- (26) Todd, J.T., Weismantel, E. & Kallie, C.S. 2014 On the relative detectability of configural properties. *Journal of Vision*, 14(1):18, 1–8.
- (27) Tsirlin, I., Wilcox, L.M. & Allison, R.S. 2014 A computational theory of da Vinci stereopsis. *Journal of Vision*, 14(7):5, 1–26.
- (28) Vienne, C., Sorin, L., Blondé, L., Huynh-Thu, Q. & Mamassian, P. 2014 Effect of the accommodation-vergence conflict on vergence eye movements. *Vision Research* 100, 124–133
- (29) Wardle, S.G., Palmisano, S. & Gillam, B.J. 2014 Monocular and binocular edges enhance the perception of stereoscopic slant. *Vision Research*, 100, 113–123.
- (30) Yoonessi, A. & Baker, Jr. C.L. 2014 Boundary segmentation from dynamic occlusion-based motion parallax. *Journal of Vision*, 14(4):15, 1–15.
- (31) Zeiner, K.M., Spitschan, M. & Harris, J.M. 2014 Perceptual integration across natural monocular regions. *Journal of Vision*, 14(3):5, 1–14.

引用文献 (2015)

- (1) Aida, S., Kusano, T., Shimono, K., & Tam, W. J. 2015 Overestimation of the number of elements in a three-dimensional stimulus. *Journal of Vision*, 15(9):23, 1–16.
- (2) Atabaki, A., Marciniak, K., Dicke, P. W. & Thier, P. 2015 Assessing the precision of gaze following using a stereoscopic 3D virtual reality setting. *Vision Research* 112, 68–82.
- (3) Brascamp, J. W. & Klink, P. C. 2015 The ‘laws’ of binocular rivalry: 50 years of Levelt’s propositions. *Vision Research*, 109, 20–37.
- (4) Buckthoight, A., Fesi, J. D., Kirsch, L. E. & Mendola, J. D. 2015 Comparison of stimulus rivalry to binocular rivalry with functional magnetic resonance imaging. *Journal of Vision*, 15(14):2, 1–20.
- (5) Cañal-Bruland, R. & van der Kamp, J. 2015 Embodied perception: A proposal to reconcile affordance and spatial perception. *i-Perception*, 6, 63–66.
- (6) Chen, C. C. & Sio, L. T. 2015 3D surface configuration modulates 2D symmetry detection. *Vision Research*, 107, 86–93.
- (7) Deas, L. M. & Wilcox, L. M. 2015 Perceptual grouping via binocular disparity: The impact of stereoscopic good continuation. *Journal of Vision*, 15(11):11, 1–13.
- (8) Dekel, R. & Sagi, D. 2015 Tilt aftereffect due to adaptation to natural stimuli. *Vision Research*, 117, 91–99.
- (9) Erkelens, C. J. 2015 The extent of visual space inferred from perspective angles. *i-Perception*, 6, 5–14.
- (10) Erkelens, C. J. 2015 The Perspective Structure of Visual Space. *i-Perception*, 6, 1–13.
- (11) Hakala, J., Katsyri, J. & Hakkinen, J. 2015 Stereoscopy Amplifies Emotions Elicited by Facial Expressions. *i-Perception*, 6, 1–17.
- (12) Hands, P., Smulders, T. V. & Read, J. C. A. 2015 Stereoscopic 3-D content appears relatively veridical when viewed from an oblique angle. *Journal of Vision*, 15(5):6, 1–21.

- (13) Hess, R.F. & Thompson, B. 2015 Amblyopia and the binocular approach to its therapy. *Vision Research* 114 (2015) 4–16.
- (14) Holmin, J. & Nawrot, M. 2015 Motion parallax thresholds for unambiguous depth perception. *Vision Research*, 115, 40–47.
- (15) Johansson, J., Seimyr, G.O. & Pansell, T. 2015 Eye dominance in binocular viewing conditions. *Journal of Vision*, 15(9):21, 1–17.
- (16) Kogi, N., Hermans, L., Stuer, D., van Ee, R. & Wagemans, J. 2015 Temporal dynamics of different cases of bi-stable figure-ground perception. *Vision Research*, 106, 7–19.
- (17) Langer, M.S. & Siciliano, R.A. 2015 Are blur and disparity complementary cues to depth? *Vision Research*, 107, 15–21.
- (18) Layton, O.W. & Yazdanbakhsh, A. 2015 A neural model of border-ownership from kinetic occlusion. *Vision Research*, 106, 64–80.
- (19) Levi, D.M., Knill, D.C. & Bavelier, D. 2015 Stereopsis and amblyopia: A mini-review. *Vision Research*, 114, 17–30.
- (20) Marlow, P.J. & Anderson, B.L. 2015 Material properties derived from three-dimensional shape representations. *Vision Research*, 115, 199–208.
- (21) Murphy, K.M., Roumeliotis, G., Williams, K., Beston, B.R. & Jones, D.G. 2015 Binocular visual training to promote recovery from monocular deprivation. *Journal of Vision*, 15(1):2, 1–17.
- (22) Norman, J.F., Adkins, O.C., Norman, H.F., Cox, A.G. & Rogers, C.E. 2015 Aging and the visual perception of exocentric distance. *Vision Research*, 109, 52–58.
- (23) Norman, J.F., Adkins, O.C., Pedersen, L.E., Reyes, C.M., Wulff, R.A. & Tungate, A. 2015 The visual perception of exocentric distance in outdoor settings. *Vision Research*, 117, 100–104.
- (24) Ono, H. & Saqib, Y. 2015 The reference point for monocular visual direction can, sometimes, be one of the eyes rather than the cyclopean eye. *Perception*, 44, 597 – 603.

- (25) Reynaud, A., Gao, Y. & Hess, R.F. 2015 A normative dataset on human global stereopsis using the quick Disparity Sensitivity Function (qDSF). *Vision Research* 113, 97–103.
- (26) Royden, C.S., Sannicandro, S.E. & Webber, L.M. 2014 Detection of moving objects using motion- and stereo-tuned operators. *Journal of Vision* (2015) 15(8):21, 1–17.
- (27) Sato, K., Kanazawa, S. & Yamaguchi, M. 2015 Infant perception of incongruent shapes in cast shadows. *i-Perception*, 6, 91–99.
- (28) Saunders, A. & Chen, Z. 2015 Perceptual biases and cue weighting in perception of 3D slant from texture and stereo information. *Journal of Vision*, 15(2):14, 1–24.
- (29) Sayeur, M.S., Vannasing, P., Lefrançois, M., Tremblay, E., Lepore, F., Lassonde, M., McKerra, M. & Gallagher, A. 2015 Early childhood development of visual texture segregation in full-term and preterm children. *Vision Research*, 112, 1–10.
- (30) Self, M.W., Mookhoek, A., Tjalma, N. & Roelfsema, P.R. 2015 Contextual effects on perceived contrast: Figure–ground assignment and orientation contrast. *Journal of Vision*, 15(2):2, 1–21.
- (31) Scherzer, T.R. & Ekroll, V. 2015 Partial modal completion under occlusion: What do modal and amodal percepts represent? *Journal of Vision* (2015) 15(1):22, 1–20.
- (32) Todd, J.T., Eric J. L. Egan, E.J.L. & Kallie, C.S. 2015 The darker-is-deeper heuristic for the perception of 3D shape from shading: Is it perceptually or ecologically valid? *Journal of Vision*, 15, 1–10.

引用文献 (2016)

- (1) Anderson, J.A.E., Healey, M.K., Hasher, L. & Peterson, M.A. 2016 Age-related deficits in inhibition in figure-ground assignment. *Journal of Vision*, 16(7):6, 1–12.
- (2) Anstis S. & Kaneko, S. 2016 Rotating Squares Look Like Pincushions. *i-Perception* September-October 2016, 1–4.
- (3) Button, C., Schofield, M. & Croft, J. 2016 Distance perception in an open water environment: Analysis of individual differences. *Attention, Perception & Psychophysics*, 78, 915-922.
- (4) Chopin, A., Levi, D., Knill, D. & Bravelier, D. 2016 The absolute disparity anomaly and the mechanism of relative disparities. *Journal of Vision*, 16(8):2, 1–17.
- (5) Cooper, E.A., van Ginkel, M. & Rokers, B. 2016 Sensitivity and bias in the discrimination of two-dimensional and three-dimensional motion direction. *Journal of Vision*, 16(10):5, 1–11.
- (6) Dehmoobadsharifabadi, A. & Farivar, R. 2016 Are face representations depth cue invariant? *Journal of Vision*, 16(8):6, 1–15.
- (7) de la Malla, C., Buiteman, S., Otters, W., Smeets, J.B.J., & Brenner E. 2016 How various aspects of motion parallax influence distance judgments, even when we think we are standing still. *Journal of Vision*, 16(9):8, 1–14.
- (8) Dobias, J.J., Papathomas, T.V. & Vlajnic, V.M. 2016 Convexity Bias and Perspective Cues in the Reverse-Perspective Illusion. *i-Perception*, January-February, 1–7.
- (9) Finlayson, N.J. & Golomb, J.D. 2016 Feature-location binding in 3D: Feature judgments are biased by 2D location but not position-in-depth. *Vision Research*, 127, 49–56.
- (10) Goutcher, R. & Wilcox, L.M. 2016 Representation and measurement of stereoscopic volumes. *Journal of Vision*, 16(11):16, 1–17.
- (11) Hakkinen, J. & Grohn, L. 2016 Turning water into rock: The inverted

waves effect. *i-Perception* , January-February 2016: 1–6.

(12)Hartle,B. & Wilcox,L.M. 2016 Depth magnitude from stereopsis: Assessment techniques and the role of experience. *Vision Research*, 125, 64–75.

(13)Holmin, J. & Nawrot,M. 2016 The effects of aging on the perception of depth from motion parallax. *Attention, Perception & Psychophysics*, 78, 1681-1691.

(14)Holten,V., Stuit,S.M., Verstraten,F.A.J. & van der Smagt,M.J. 2016 Grouping of optic flow stimuli during binocular rivalry is driven by monocular information. *Vision Research*, 127, 84–91.

(15)Khuu,S.K., Honson,V. & Kim,J. 2016 The perception of three-dimensional contours and the effect of luminance polarity and color change on their detection. *Journal of Vision*, 16(3):31, 1–15.

(16)Kim,J. & Anstis,S. 2016 Perceived depth from shading boundaries. *Journal of Vision* , 16(6):5, 1–12.

(17)Kim,S., Carello,C. & Turvey,M.T. 2016 Size and distance are perceived independently in an optical tunnel: Evidence for direct perception. *Vision Research* 125, 1–11.

(18)Kim,J. & Marlow ,P.J. 2016 Turning the World Upside Down to Understand Perceived Transparency. *i-Perception*, September-October, 1–5.

(19)Kim,J. & Tran,M.T.T. 2016 A new angle on object-background effects in vection. *i-Perception* March-April, 1–8.

(20)Langer ,M.S., Zheng,H. & Rezvankhah,S. 2016 Depth discrimination from occlusions in 3D clutter. *Journal of Vision*, 16(11):11, 1–18.

(21)Marlow ,P.J. & Anderson,B.L. 2016 Motion and texture shape cues modulate perceived material properties. *Journal of Vision* , 16(1):5, 1–14.

(22)Mastandrea,S. & Kennedy,J.M. 2016 Pot/Lid Illusion. *i-Perception* September-October2016, 1–4.

- (23) Nakayama, R., Motoyoshi, I. & Sato, T. 2016 Motion dominance in binocular rivalry depends on extraretinal motions. *Journal of Vision*, 16(5):2, 1–10.
- (24) Norman, J.F., Adkins, O.C. & Pedersen, L.E. 2016 The visual perception of distance ratios in physical space. *Vision Research*, 123, 1–7.
- (25) Tanrikulu, O.D., Froyen, V., Feldman, J. & Singh, M. 2016 Geometric figure-ground cues override standard depth from accretion-deletion. *Journal of Vision*, 16(5):15, 1–15.
- (26) Ott, F., Pohl, L., Halfmann, M., Hardies, G. & Mallot, H.A. 2016 The perception of ego-motion change in environments with varying depth: Interaction of stereo and optic flow. *Journal of Vision*, 16(9):4, 1–15.
- (27) Palmisano, S., Hill, H. & Allison, R. S. 2016 The Nature and Timing of Tele-Pseudoscopic Experiences. *i-Perception* January-February: 1–24.
- (28) Qian, J. & Petrov, Y. 2016 A depth illusion supports the model of General Object Constancy: Size and depth constancies related by a same distance-scaling factor. *Vision Research*, 129, 77–86.
- (29) Royden, C.S., Parsons, D. & Travatello, J. 2016 The effect of monocular depth cues on the detection of moving objects by moving observers. *Vision Research*, 124, 7–14.
- (30) Sato, K., Kanazawa, S. & Yamaguchi, M.K. 2016 Infant's discrimination of shapes from shading and cast shadows. *Perception, Attention, Perception, & Psychophysics*, 78, 1453–1459.
- (31) Schutt, H.H., Baier, F. & Fleming, R.W. 2016 Perception of light source distance from shading patterns. *Journal of Vision*, 16(3):9, 1–20.
- (32) Seemiller, E.S., Wang, J., & Candy, T.R. 2016 Sensitivity of vergence responses of 5- to 10-week-old human infants. *Journal of Vision*, 16(3):20, 1–12 1.
- (33) Skerswetat, J., Formankiewicz, M.A. & Waugh, S.J. 2016 Very few exclusive percepts for contrast-modulated stimuli during binocular rivalry. *Vision*

Research, 121, 10–22.

- (34) Talasan, H., Scheiman, M., Li, X. & Alvarez, T.L. 2016 Disparity vergence responses before versus after repetitive vergence therapy in binocularly normal controls. *Journal of Vision*, 16(1):7, 1–19.
- (35) Tanrikulu, O.D., Froyen, V., Jacob Feldman, J. & Singh, M. 2016 Geometric figure–ground cues override standard depth from accretion-deletion. *Journal of Vision*, 16(5):15, 1–15
- (36) Tian, M., Yamis, D. & Grill-Spector, K. 2016 Learning the 3-D structure of objects from 2-D views depends on shape, not format. *Journal of Vision*, 16(7):7, 1–17.
- (37) Turski, J. 2016 On binocular vision: The geometric horopter and Cyclopean eye. *Vision Research* 119, 73–81.
- (38) Tsirlin, I., Wilcox, L.M. & Allison, R.S. 2016 Size matters: Perceived depth magnitude varies with stimulus height. *Vision Research*, 123, 41–45.
- (39) Wardle, S.G. & Gillam, B.G. 2016 Gradients of relative disparity underlie the perceived slant of stereoscopic surfaces. *Journal of Vision*, 16(5):16, 1–13.
- (40) Zannoli, M., Love, G.D., Narain, R. & Banks, M.S. 2016 Blur and the perception of depth at occlusions. *Journal of Vision*, 16(6):17, 1–25.

引用文献 (2017)

- (1) Akhaverin, H. & Farivar, R. 2017 Gaze behavior during 3-D face identification is depth cue invariant. *Journal of Vision*, 17(2):9, 1–12.
- (2) Alvarez, T.L., Kim, E.H., Yaramothu, C. & Granger-Donetti, B. 2017 The influence of age on adaptation of disparity vergence and phoria. *Vision Research*, 133, 1–11.
- (3) Aoki, S.C., Shiozaki, H.M., & Fujita, I. 2017 A relative frame of reference underlies reversed depth perception in anticorrelated random-dot stereograms. *Journal of Vision*, 17(12):17, 1–17.
- (4) Bosco, A., Daniele, F. & Fattori, P. 2017 Reaching and grasping actions and their context shape the perception of object size. *Journal of Vision*, 17(12):10, 1–19.
- (5) Brooks, K.R. 2017 Depth Perception and the History of Three-Dimensional Art: Who Produced the First Stereoscopic Images? *i-Perception* January-February, 1–22.
- (6) Buckthought, A., Yoonessi, A. & Baker, C.L. 2017 Dynamic perspective cues enhance depth perception from motion parallax. *Journal of Vision*, 17(1):10, 1–19.
- (7) Campagnoli, C., Croom, S. & Domini, F. 2017 Stereovision for action reflects our perceptual experience of distance and depth. *Journal of Vision*, 17(9):21, 1–26.
- (8) Chadnova, E., Reynaud, A., Clavagnier, S. & Hess, R.F. 2017 Latent binocular function in amblyopia. *Vision Research*, 140, 73–80.
- (9) Chen, Z., Maus, G.W., Whitney, D. & Denison, R.N. 2017 Filling-in rivalry: Perceptual alternations in the absence of retinal image conflict. *Journal of Vision*, 17(1):8, 1–15.
- (10) Corrigan, B.W., Gulli, R.A., Doucet, G. & Martinez-Trujillo, J.C. 2017 Characterizing eye movement behaviors and kinematics of non-human primates during virtual navigation tasks. *Journal of Vision*, 17(12):15, 1–22.
- (11) Dieter, K.C., Sy, J.L. & Blake, R. 2017 Individual differences in sensory eye dominance reflected in the dynamics of binocular rivalry. *Vision Research* 141, 40–50.
- (12) Dong, X., Bi, J. & Bao, M. 2017 Robust size illusion produced by expanding and contracting flow fields. *Vision Research*, 133, 87–94.
- (13) Dorman, R. & van Ee, R. 2017 50 Years of Stereoblindness: Reconciliation of a Continuum of Disparity Detectors With Blindness for Disparity in Near or Far Depth. *i-Perception* November-December 2017, 1–13.
- (14) Dovencioğlu, D.N., Ben-Shahar, O., Barla, P. & Doerschner, K. 2017 Specular motion and 3D shape estimation. *Journal of Vision*, 17(6):3, 1–15.
- (15) Eng, Z.H.D., Yick, Y.Y., Guo, Y., Xua, H., Reiner, M., Cham, T.J., & Chen, S.H.A. 2017 3D faces are recognized more accurately and faster than 2D faces, but with similar inversion effects. *Vision Research*, 138, 78–85.
- (16) Erkelens, C.J. 2017 Perspective Space as a Model for Distance and Size Perception. *i-Perception*, November-December, 1–20.

- (17) Gamble, C.M., & Song, J.H. 2017 Dynamic modulation of illusory and physical target size on separate and coordinated eye and hand movements. *Journal of Vision*, 17(3):23, 1–23.
- (18) Gootjes-Dreesbach, L., Pickup, L.C., Fitzgibbon, A.W. & Glennerster, A. 2017 Comparison of view-based and reconstruction-based models of human navigational strategy. *Journal of Vision*, 17(9):11, 1–19.
- (19) Finlayson, N.J., Papageorgiou, A. & D. Schwarzkopf, D.S. 2017 A new method for mapping perceptual biases across visual space. *Journal of Vision*, 17(9):5, 1–9.
- (20) Keshavarz, B., Speck, M., Haycock, B. & Berti, S. 2017 Effect of Different Display Types on Vection and Its Interaction With Motion Direction and Field Dependence. *i-Perception* May-June 2017, 1–18.
- (21) Koenderink, J. & van Doorn, A. 2017 The Planispheric Optic Array. *i-Perception* May-June 2017, 1–17.
- (22) Koizumi, T., Ito, H., Sunaga, S., & Ogawa, M. 2017 Directional Bias in the Perception of Cast Shadows. *i-Perception*, January-February 2017: 1–17.
- (23) Lass, J.W., Bennett, P.J., Peterson, M.A. & Sekuler, A.B. 2017 Effects of aging on figure-ground perception: Convexity context effects and competition resolution. *Journal of Vision*, 17(2):15, 1–16.
- (24) Layton, O.W. & Fajen, B.R. 2017 Possible role for recurrent interactions between expansion and contraction cells in MSTd during self-motion perception in dynamic environments. *Journal of Vision*, 17(5):5, 1–21.
- (25) Lisi, M. & Cavanagh, P. 2017 Different spatial representations guide eye and hand movements. *Journal of Vision*, 17(2):12, 1–12.
- (26) Maarseveen, J., Paffen, C.L.E., Verstraten, F.A.J. & Hogendoorn, H. 2017 Representing dynamic stimulus information during occlusion. *Vision Research*, 138, 40–49.
- (27) McManus, M., D'Amour, S. & Harris, L.R. 2017 Using optic flow in the far peripheral field. *Journal of Vision*, 17(8):3, 1–11.
- (28) Niehof, N., Tramper, J.J., Doeller, C.F. & Medendorp, W.P. 2017 Updating of visual orientation in a gravity-based reference frame. *Journal of Vision*, 17(12):4, 1–10.
- (29) Niehorster, D.C. & Li, L. 2017 Accuracy and Tuning of Flow Parsing for Visual Perception of Object Motion During Self-Motion. *i-Perception* May-June 2017, 1–18.
- (30) Niehorster, D.C., Li, L., & Lappe, M. 2017 The Accuracy and Precision of Position and Orientation Tracking in the HTC Vive Virtual Reality System for Scientific Research. *i-Perception*, May-June 2017, 1–23.
- (31) O'Shea, R.P. 2017 Clapare`de (1904) on Monocular Stereopsis: History, Theory, and Translation. *i-Perception* September-October, 1–10.
- (32) O'Shea, R.P., Roeber, U. & Wade, N.J. 2017 On the Discovery of Monocular Rivalry by Tscherning in 1898: Translation and Review. *i-Perception*, November-December, 1–12.
- (33) Pas, S.F., Pont, S.C., Dalmaijer, E.S. & Hooge, I.T.C. 2017 Perception of object illumination depends on highlights and shadows, not shading. *Journal of Vision*, 17(8):2, 1–15.

- (34) Paeye, C., Collins, T. & Cavanagh, P. 2017 Transsaccadic perceptual fusion. *Journal of Vision*, 17(1):14, 1–11.
- (35) Peterzell, D.H., Serrano-Pedrazab, I., Widdall, M. & Read, J.C.A. 2017 Thresholds for sine-wave corrugations defined by binocular disparity in random dot stereograms: Factor analysis of individual differences reveals two stereoscopic mechanisms tuned for spatial frequency. *Vision Research*, 141, 127–135.
- (36) Pont, S.C., van Doorn, A.J. & Koenderink, J.J. 2017 Estimating the Illumination Direction From Three-Dimensional Texture of Brownian Surfaces. *i-Perception* March-April, 1–18.
- (37) Qian, C.S.a, Brascamp, J.W. & Liu, T. 2017 On the functional order of binocular rivalry and blind spot filling-in. *Vision Research* 136, 15–20.
- (38) Riddell, H. & Lappe, M. 2017 Biological motion cues aid identification of self-motion from optic flow but not heading detection. *Journal of Vision*, 17(12):19, 1–17.
- (39) Schmidt, F., Paulun, V.C., van Assen, J.J.R. & Fleming, R.W. 2017 Inferring the stiffness of unfamiliar objects from optical, shape, and motion cues. *Journal of Vision*, 17(3):18, 1–17.
- (40) Stuit, S., Brascamp, J., Barendregt, M., van der Smagt, M. & te Pas, S. 2017 Image-based and eye-based influences on binocular rivalry have similar spatial profiles. *Journal of Vision*, 17(12):14, 1–11.
- (41) Su, C.C., Cormack, L.K. & Bovik, A.C. 2017 Bayesian depth estimation from monocular natural images. *Journal of Vision*, 17(5):22, 1–29.
- (42) Talbot, D., Van der Burg, E. & Cass, J. 2017 Stereoscopic Segmentation Cues Improve Visual Timing Performance in Spatiotemporally Cluttered Environments. *i-Perception* March-April 2017, 1–16.
- (43) Veras, C., Pham, Q.C. & Maus, G.W. 2017 The Silhouette Zoetrope: A New Blend of Motion, Mirroring, Depth, and Size Illusions. *i-Perception* March-April 2017, 1–8.
- (44) Wijntjes, M. W. A. 2017 Ways of Viewing Pictorial Plasticity. *i-Perception*, March-April 2017, 1–10.
- (45) Wilcox, L.M., Hartle, B., Solski, A., Mackenzie, K.J. & Giaschi, D. 2017 Disparity configuration influences depth discrimination in naïve adults, but not in children. *Vision Research*, 131, 106–119.
- (46) Wilson, H.R. 2017 Binocular contrast, stereopsis, and rivalry: Toward a dynamical synthesis. *Vision Research* 140, 89–95.

引用文献 (2018)

- (1) Akhaverin, H., Dehmoobadsharifabadi, D. & Farivar, R. 2018 Magnetoencephalography adaptation reveals depth-cue invariant object representations in the visual cortex. *Journal of Vision*, 18(12):6, 1–12.
- (2) Bertamini, M. & Kitaoka, A. 2014 Blindness to Curvature and Blindness to Illusory Curvature. *i-Perception*, 9(3), 1–11.
- (3) Bossard, M. & Mestre, D. 2018 The relative contributions of various viewpoint oscillation frequencies to the perception of distance traveled. *Journal of Vision*, 18(2):3, 1–18.
- (4) Brascamp, J.B., Becker, M.W. & Hambrick, D.Z. 2018 Revisiting individual differences in the time course of binocular rivalry. *Journal of Vision*, 18(7):3, 1–20.
- (5) Cherry, O.C. & Bingham, G.P. 2018 Searching for invariance: Geographical and optical slant. *Vision Research*, 149, 30–39.
- (6) Dovencioğlu, D.N., van Doorn, A., Koenderink, J. & Doerschner, K. 2018 Seeing through transparent layers. *Journal of Vision*, 18(9):25, 1–19.
- (7) Duan, Y., Yakovleva, A. & Norcia, A.M. 2018 Determinants of neural responses to disparity in natural scenes. *Journal of Vision*, 18(3):21, 1–19.
- (8) Dunn, M.D. & Rushton, S.K. 2018 Lateral visual occlusion does not change walking trajectories. *Journal of Vision*, 18(9):11, 1–16.
- (9) Erkelens, I. & Bobier, W.R. 2018 Adaptation of reflexive fusional vergence is directionally biased. *Vision Research*, 149, 66–76.
- (10) Goutcher, R., Eilidh Connolly, E. & Hibbard, P.B. 2018 Surface continuity and discontinuity bias the perception of stereoscopic depth. *Journal of Vision*, 18(12):13, 1–15.
- (11) Hartle, B., Wilcox, L.M. & Murray, R.F. 2014 Optimal combination of illusory and luminance-defined 3-D surfaces: A role for ambiguity. *Journal of Vision*, 18(4):14, 1–15.
- (12) Hea, Z.J., Ooi, T.L. & Sua, Y.R. 2018 Perceptual mechanisms underlying amodal surface integration of 3-D stereoscopic stimuli. *Vision Research*, 143, 66–81.
- (13) He, S. & Shigemasa, H. 2018 Relationship of Depth Adaptation Between Disparity-Specified Plaids and Their Components. *i-Perception*, 9(5), 1–18.
- (14) Iyer, A.V. & Burge, J. 2018 Depth variation and stereo processing tasks in natural scenes. *Journal of Vision*, 18(6):4, 1–22.
- (15) Kingdom, F.A.A., Jennings, B.J. & Georgeson, M.A. 2018 Adaptation to interocular difference. *Journal of Vision*, 18(5):9, 1–11.
- (16) Koenderink, J., van Doorn, A., & Wagemans, J. 2018 Magic Circle. *i-Perception*, 9(3), 1–26.

- (17) Koenderink, J. van Doorn, A. & Pepperell, R. 2018 View From Outside the Viewing Sphere. *i-Perception*, Vol. 9(3), 1–20.
- (18) Koenderink, J., van Doorn, A. & Wagemans, J. 2018 Vanishing Girls, Mysterious Blacks. *i-Perception*, Vol. 9(4), 1–13.
- (19) Lavrenteva, S. & Murakami, I. 2018 The Ebbinghaus illusion in contrast-defined and orientation-defined stimuli. *Vision Research*, 148, 26–36.
- (20) Okafuji, Y., Mole, C.D., Merat, N., Fukao, T., Yokokohji, Y., Inou, H. & Wilkie, R.M. 2018 Steering bends and changing lanes: The impact of optic flow and road edges on two point steering control. *Journal of Vision*, 18(9):14, 1–19.
- (21) Petruk, V., He, B., Stephen Engel, S. & He, H. 2018 Stimulus rivalry and binocular rivalry share a common neural substrate. *Journal of Vision*, 18(9):18, 1–14.
- (22) Pinna, B., Reeves, A., Koenderink, J., van Doorn, A. & Katia Deiana, K. 2018 A new principle of figure-ground segregation: The accentuation. *Vision Research*, 143, 9–25.
- (23) McCann, B.C., Hayhoe, M.M. & Geisler, W.S. 2018 Contributions of monocular and binocular cues to distance discrimination in natural scenes. *Journal of Vision*, 18(4):12, 1–15.
- (24) Nakashima, Y. & Sugita, Y. 2018 Size-contrast illusion induced by unconscious context. *Journal of Vision*, 18(3):16, 1–10.
- (25) Arovel, G. & Costall, A. (2018) Increasing Perspectival Obliqueness Increases the Leaning Tower Illusion. *i-Perception*, January-February 2018, 1–7
- (26) Reynaud, A. & Hess, R.F. 2018 Interocular correlation sensitivity and its relationship with stereopsis. *Journal of Vision*, 18(1):11, 1–11.
- (27) Rokers, B., Fulvio, J.M., Pillow, J.W. & Cooper, E.A. 2018 Systematic misperceptions of 3-D motion explained by Bayesian inference. *Journal of Vision*, 18(3):23, 1–23.
- (28) Michele Rucci, M. & Victor, J.D. 2018 Perspective: Can eye movements contribute to emmetropization? *Journal of Vision*, 18(7):10, 1–6.
- (29) Rushton, S.K., Chen, R. & Li, L. 2018 Ability to identify scene-relative object movement is not limited by, or yoked to, ability to perceive heading. *Journal of Vision*, 18(6):11, 1–16.
- (30) Santos, E.M., Yaramothu, C. Alvarez, T.L. 2018 Comparison of symmetrical prism adaptation to asymmetrical prism adaptation in those with normal binocular vision. *Vision Research* 149 (2018) 59–65.
- (31) Scaccia, M. & Langer, M.S. 2018 Signs of depth-luminance covariance in 3-D cluttered scenes. *Journal of Vision* (2018) 18(3):5, 1–13.
- (32) Seemiller, E.S., Cumming, B.G. & Candy, T.R. 2018 Human infants can generate vergence responses to retinal disparity by 5 to 10 weeks of age. *Journal of Vision*, 18(6):17, 1–8.
- (33) Seno, T., Murata, K., Fujii, Y., Kanaya, H., Ogawa, M., Tokunaga, K. & Palmisano, S. 2018 Vection Is Enhanced by Increased Exposure to Optic Flow. *i-Perception*, 9(3), 1–16.

- (34) Seymour, K.J., Stein, T., Clifford, C.W.G. & Sterzer, P. 2018 Cortical suppression in human primary visual cortex predicts individual differences in illusory tilt perception. *Journal of Vision* 18(11):3, 1–10.
- (35) Shirai, N., Endo, S., Tanahashi, S., Seno, T. & Imura, T. 2018 Development of Asymmetric Vection for Radial Expansion or Contraction Motion: Comparison Between School-Age Children and Adults. *i-Perception*, March-April, 1–13.
- (36) Shufang He, S. & Shigemasu, H. 2018 Relationship of Depth Adaptation Between Disparity-Specified Plaids and Their Components. *i-Perception*, 9(5), 1–18.
- (37) Skerswetat, J., Formankiewicz, M.A. & Waugh, S.J. 2018 More superimposition for contrast-modulated than luminance-modulated stimuli during binocular rivalry. *Vision Research* 142, 40–51.
- (38) Sun, H.C., Baker, C., & Kingdom, F.A.A. 2018 Simultaneous density contrast and binocular integration. *Journal of Vision*, 18(6):3, 1–12.
- (39) Thaler, H., Geuss, M.N. & Mohler, B.J. 2018 The Role of Visual Information in Body Size Estimation. *i-Perception*, 9(5), 1–16.
- (40) Vera-Diaz, F.A., Peter J. Bex, P.J., Ferreira, A. & Kosovicheva, A. 2018 Binocular temporal visual processing in myopia. *Journal of Vision*, 18(11):17, 1–12.
- (41) Veto, P., Uhlig, M., Troje, N. & Einhauser, W. 2018 Cognition modulates action-to-perception transfer in ambiguous perception. *Journal of Vision*, 18(8):5, 1–8.
- (42) Wilder, J., Dickinson, S. & Walther, D.B. 2018 Spatial relationships between contours impact rapid scene classification. *Journal of Vision*, 18(8):1, 1–15.
- (43) Xing, Y. & Liu, Z. 2018 A preference for minimal deformation constrains the perceived depth of a stereokinetic stimulus. *Vision Research*, 153, 53–59.
- (44) Yaramothu, C., Santos, E.M. & Alvarez, T.L. 2018 Effects of visual distractors on vergence eye movements. *Journal of Vision*, 18(6):2, 1–17.

引用文献(2019)

- (1) Aguado, B. & López-Moliner, J. 2019 Perceived speed of motion in depth modulates misjudgements of approaching trajectories consistently with a slow prior. *Vision Research*, 159, 1–9.
- (2) Aleshin, S., Ziman, G., Ilona Kovacs, I. & Braun, J. 2019 Perceptual reversals in binocular rivalry: Improved detection from OKN. *Journal of Vision*, 19(3):5, 1–18.
- (3) Ananyev, E., Zixin Yong, Z. & Hsieh, P. 2019 Center-surround velocity-based segmentation: Speed, eccentricity, and timing of visual stimuli interact to determine interocular dominance. *Journal of Vision*, 19(13):3, 1–19.
- (4) Billino, J. & Pilz, K.S. 2019 Motion perception as a model for perceptual aging. *Journal of Vision*, 19(4):3, 1–28.
- (5) Binaee, K. & Diaz, G. 2019 Movements of the eyes and hands are coordinated by a common predictive strategy. *Journal of Vision*, 19(12):3, 1–16.
- (6) Blakea, R.b., Goodmana, R., Tomarkena, A. & Kimc, H.W. 2019 Individual differences in continuous flash suppression: Potency and linkages to binocular rivalry dynamics. *Vision Research* 160, 10–23.
- (7) Brascamp, J.W., Qian, C.S., Hambrick, D.Z., & Becker, M.W. 2019 Individual differences point to two separate processes involved in the resolution of binocular rivalry. *Journal of Vision*, 19(12):15, 1–17.
- (8) Cai, L.T., Yuan, A.E. & Backus, B.T. 2019 Binocular global motion perception is improved by dichoptic segregation when stimuli have high contrast and high speed. *Journal of Vision*, 19(13):10, 1–17.
- (9) Cesanek, E. & Domini, F. 2019 Depth cue reweighting requires altered correlations with haptic feedback. *Journal of Vision*, 19(14):3, 1–13.
- (10) Chen, Z. & Saunders, J.A. 2019 Perception of 3D slant from textures with and without aligned spectral components. *Journal of Vision*, 19(4):7, 1–23.
- (11) Chen, J., McManus, M., Harris, L.R. & Gegenfurtner, K.R. 2019 Steady-state visually evoked potentials reveal partial size constancy in early visual cortex. *Journal of Vision*, 19(6):8, 1–15.
- (12) Cooper, P.R. & Mendola, J.D. 2019 Abnormal sensory eye dominance in stereoanomalous Subjects. *Journal of Vision*, 19(13):14, 1–16.
- (13) Cox, M.A., Dougherty, K., Westerberg, J.A., Michelle S. Schall, M.S. & Maier, A. 2019 Temporal dynamics of binocular integration in primary visual cortex. *Journal of Vision*, 19(12):13, 1–21.
- (14) Cutonea, M.D., Allisonb, R.S. & Wilcoxa, L.W. 2019 The impact of retinal motion on stereoacuity for physical targets. *Vision Research*, 161, 43–51.
- (15) Diez, P.S., Ohlendorf, A., Schaeffelc, F. & Siegfried Wahla, S. (2019) Effect of spatial filtering on accommodation. *Vision Research* 164, 62–68.

- (16) Erlikhman, G., Fu, M., Dodd, M.D. & Caplovitz, G.P. 2019 The motion-induced contour revisited: Observations on 3-D structure and illusory contour formation in moving stimuli. *Journal of Vision*, 19(1):7, 1–17.
- (17) Farell, B. & Ng, C.J. 2019 Attentional selection in judgments of stereo depth. *Vision Research*, 158, 19–30.
- (18) Focusing on an illusion: Accommodating to perceived depth? 2019 Koessler, T. & Hill, H. *Vision Research* 154, 131–141.
- (19) Ghahghaei, S., McKee, S. & Verghese, P. 2019 The upper disparity limit increases gradually with eccentricity. *Journal of Vision*, 19(11):3, 1–12.
- (20) Joo, S.J., Greer, D.A., Cormack, L.K. & Huk, A.C. 2019 Eye-specific pattern-motion signals support the perception of three-dimensional motion. *Journal of Vision* 19(4):27, 1–12.
- (21) Frederick A. A. Kingdom, F.A.A., Nour M. Seulami, N.M. Ben J. Jennings, B.J. & Georgeson, M.A. 2019 Interocular difference thresholds are mediated by binocular differencing, not summing, channels. *Journal of Vision*, 19(14):18, 1–15.
- (22) Kingdom, F.A.A., Seulami, N.M. Jennings, B.J., & Georgeson, M.A. 2019 Interocular difference thresholds are mediated by binocular differencing, not summing, channels. *Journal of Vision*, 19(14):18, 1–15.
- (23) Kosovicheva, A., Ferreirab, A., Vera-Diaz, F.A. & Bex, P.J. 2019 Effects of temporal frequency on binocular deficits in amblyopia. *Vision Research*, 163, 52–62.
- (24) May, K.A. & Zhaoping, L. 2019 Face perception inherits low-level binocular adaptation. *Journal of Vision*, 19(7):7, 1–10.
- (25) Mitsuda, H., Hironaga, N., Ogata, K. & Tobimatsu, T. 2019 Vertical size disparity induces enhanced neural responses in good stereo observers. *Vision Research*, 164, 24–33.
- (26) Murdison, T.S., Leclercq, G., Lefevre, P. & Blohm, G., Misperception of motion in depth originates from an incomplete transformation of retinal signals. *Journal of Vision*, 19(12):21, 1–15.
- (27) Nawrot, E. & Nawrot, M. 2019 Convergence and divergence to radial optic flow in infancy. *Journal of Vision*, 19(13):6, 1–11.
- (28) Nguyen, A.T.T. & Clifford, C.W.G. 2019 Gazing into space: Systematic biases in determining another's fixation distance from gaze vergence in upright and inverted faces. *Journal of Vision*, 19(11):5, 1–6.
- (29) Osugi, T. & Murakami, I. (2019) Preview benefit survives a three-dimensional rotation of the rigid configuration of search items. *Vision Research* 156, 56–65.
- (30) Pastukhov, A., Kastrup, P., Abs, I.F. & Carbon, C. 2019 Switch rates for orthogonally oriented kinetic-depth displays are correlated across observers. *Journal of Vision*, 19(6):1, 1–13.

- (31) Pomante, A., Selen, L.P.J. & Medendorp, W.P. 2019 Visual orientation uncertainty in the rod-and-frame illusion. *Journal of Vision*, 19(4):19, 1–8.
- (32) Raveendran, R.N., Bobier, W.R. & Thompson, B. 2019 Binocular vision and fixational eye movements. *Journal of Vision*, 19(4):9, 1–15.
- (33) Read, C.A. & Cumming, B.G. 2019 The psychophysics of stereopsis can be explained without invoking independent ON and OFF channels. *Journal of Vision*, 19(6):7, 1–14.
- (34) Rideaux, R. & Welchman, A.F. 2019 Contextual effects on binocular matching are evident in primary visual cortex. *Vision Research* 159, 76–85.
- (35) Shafer-Skelton, A. & Brady, T.F. 2019 Scene layout priming relies primarily on low-level features rather than scene layout. *Journal of Vision*, 19(1):14, 1–33.
- (36) Scaccia, M. & Langer, M.S. 2019 Density discrimination with occlusions in 3D clutter. *Journal of Vision*, 19(12):10, 1–15.
- (37) Schaeffner, L.F. & Welchman, A.E. 2019 The mixed-polarity benefit of stereopsis arises in early visual cortex. *Journal of Vision*, 19(2):9, 1–14.
- (38) Sheynin, Y., Proulx, S. & Hess, F. 2019 Temporary monocular occlusion facilitates binocular fusion during rivalry. *Journal of Vision*, 19(5):23, 1–17.
- (39) Takao, S., Clifford, C.W.G. & Watanabe, K. 2019 Ebbinghaus illusion depends more on the retinal than perceived size of surrounding stimuli. *Vision Research*, 154, 80–84.
- (40) Tarita-Nistora, L., Sameta, S., Tropea, G.F. & González, E.G. 2019 Dominance wave propagation during binocular rivalry in mild glaucoma. *Vision Research* 165, 64–71.
- (41) Tittes, J., Baldwin, A.S., Hess, R.F., Cirina, L., Wenner, Y., Kuhl-Hattenbach, C., Ackermann, H., Thomas, T. & Fronius, M. 2019 Assessment of stereovision with digital testing in adults and children with normal and impaired binocularity. *Vision Research* 164, 69–82.
- (42) Thompson, L., Ji, M., Rokers, B. & Rosenberg, A. 2019 Contributions of binocular and monocular cues to motion-in-depth perception. *Journal of Vision*, 19(3):2, 1–16.
- (43) van Heusden, E., Harris, A.M., Garrido, M.I. & Hogendoorn, H. 2019 Predictive coding of visual motion in both monocular and binocular human visual processing. *Journal of Vision*, 19(1):3, 1–12.
- (44) Wu, H., Wang, X.M. & Pan, J.M. 2019 Perceiving blurry scenes with translational optic flow, rotational optic flow or combined optic flow. *Vision Research*, 158, 49–57.
- (45) Watanabe, A., Fujimoto, M., Hirai, K. & Ushitani, T. (2019) Pigeons discriminate shapes based on topological features. *Vision Research*, 158, 120–125.
- (46) Wilder, J.D., Adams, W.J. & Murray, R.F. 2019 Shape from shading under inconsistent illumination. *Journal of Vision*, 19(6):2, 1–15.
- (47) Wolfe, B., Fridman, L., Kosovicheva, A., Seppelt, B., Mehler, B., Reimer, B. & Rosenholtz, R. 2019

Predicting road scenes from brief views of driving video. *Journal of Vision*, 19(5):8, 1–14.

(48) Zhang, J., Wu, J., Liu, X., Jin, Z., Li, L., & Chen, L. 2019 Hole superiority effect with 3D figures formed by binocular disparity. *Journal of Vision*, 19(2):2, 1–11.

(49) Zhenga, X., Xu, G., Zhic, Y., Wangd, Y., Hana, C., Wangc, B., Zhanga, S., Zhanga, K. & Lianga, R. 2019 Objective and quantitative assessment of interocular suppression in strabismic amblyopia based on steady-state motion visual evoked potentials. *Vision Research* 164 44–52.