

参考文献

- Biederman, I., & Ju, G. (1988). Surface versus edge-base determinants of visual recognition. *Cognitive Psychology*, 20, 38–64.
- Beyeler, M., Dutt, N., & Krichmar, J. (2016). 3D visual response properties of MSTD emerge from an efficient, sparse population code. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 36(32), 8399–8415.
- Campagnoli, C., Croom, S., & Domini, F. (2017) Stereovision for action reflects our perceptual experience of distance and depth. *Journal of Vision*, 17(9):21, 1–26,
- Chen, Z., & Saunders, J. A. (2020). Multiple texture cues are integrated for perception of 3D slant from texture. *Journal of Vision*, 20(7):14, 1–23,
- De Vries, S. C., Kappers, A. M. L., & Koenderink, J. J. (1993). Shape from stereo: A systematic approach using quadratic surfaces. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 53, 71–80.
- Fisher, G. H. (1973). Towards a new explanation for the geometrical illusions: II. Apparent depth or contour proximity. *British Journal of Psychology*, 64(4), 607–621.
- Gao, T.Y. et al. 2018 Effectiveness of a Binocular Video Game vs Placebo Video Game for Improving Visual Functions in Older Children, Teenagers, and Adults With Amblyopia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Ophthalmology* 1;136(2):172-181. doi: 10.1001/jamaophthalmol.2017.6090.
- Hu, B., Kane-Jackson, R., & Niebur, E. (2016). A proto-object based saliency model in three-dimensional space. *Vision Research*, 119, 42–49.
- Hubel, D. H., & Wiesel, T. N. (1962). Receptive fields, binocular interaction and functional architecture in the cat's visual cortex. *Journal of Physiology*, 160(1), 106–154.
- Hubel, D. H. & Wiesel, T. N. (1965). Receptive fields and functional architecture in two non-striate visual areas (18 and 19) of the cat. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 28, 229-289.
- Ichikawa, M., & Egusa, H. (1993). How is depth perception affected by long-term wearing of left-right reversing spectacles? *Perception*, 22, 971–984
- Julesz, B. (1960). Binocular depth perception of computer-generated patterns. *The Bell System Technical Journal*, 39, 1125–1162.
- Julesz, B. (1971). *Foundations of cyclopean perception* (U.). Chicago Press.
- Koenderink, J. J., & van Doorn, A. J. (1982). The shape of smooth objects and the way contours end. *Perception*, 11, 129–137.
- Koch, C., & Ullman, S. (1985). Shifts in selective visual attention: Towards the underlying neural circuitry. *Human Neurobiology*, 4(4), 219–227.

- Landwehr, K. (2015). Titchener's \perp dissected. *Attention, Perception & Psychophysics*, 77 (6), 2145–2152.
- Landwehr, K. (2017). Titchener's \perp with its lines tilted - A partial replication and extension of Cormack and Cormack (*Attention, Perception & Psychophysics*, 79 (1), 223–229).
- Layton, O., & Browning, N. (2012). Recurrent competition explains temporal effects of attention in MSTd. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience*, 6, 80.
- Mancinelli, E., Niebur, E., & Etienne-Cummings, R. (2018). Computational stereo-vision model of proto-object based saliency in three-dimensional space. *IEEE Biomedical Circuits and Systems Conference (BioCAS)*, 2018, 1–4.
- Marr, D. (1982). *Vision: A computational investigation into the human representation and processing of visual information*. MIT Press.
- Marr, D., & Poggio, T. (1979). A computational theory of human stereo vision. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B. Biological Sciences*, 204(1156),
- Norman, J. F., Todd, J. T., & Phillips, F. (1995). The perception of surface orientation from multiple sources of optical information. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 57, 629–636.
- Ohzawa, I. (1998). Mechanisms of stereoscopic vision: The disparity energy model. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 8(4), 509–515. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388\(98\)80039-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0959-4388(98)80039-1)
- Ohzawa, I., DeAngelis, G. C., & Freeman, R. D. (1990). Stereoscopic depth discrimination in the visual cortex: Neurons ideally suited as disparity detectors. *Science*, 249 (4972), 1037–1041.
- Ohzawa, I., Deangelis, G. C., & Freeman, R. D. (1997). Encoding of Binocular Disparity by Complex Cells in the Cat's Visual Cortex. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 77(6), 2879–2909.
- Parkhurst, D., Law, K., & Niebur, E. (2002). Modeling the role of salience in the allocation of overt visual attention. *Vision Research*, 42(1), 107–123. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0042-6989\(01\)00250-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0042-6989(01)00250-4)
- Poggio, G. F., Motter, B. C., Squatrito, S., & Trotter, Y. (1985). Responses of neurons in visual cortex (V1 and V2) of the alert macaque to dynamic random-dot stereograms. *Vision Research*, 25(3), 397–406.
- Russell, A. F., Mihalas, S., von der Heydt, R., Niebur, E., & Etienne-Cummings, R. (2014). A model of proto-object based saliency. *Vision Research*, 94, 1–15.
- Steinmetz, S., Layton, O., Powell, N., & Fajen, B. (2022). A dynamic efficient sensory encoding approach to adaptive tuning in neural models of optic flow processing. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience*, 16, 844289.
- Tian, M., Yamins, D., & Grill-Spector, K. (2016). Learning the 3-D structure of objects from 2-D views depends on shape, not format. *Journal of Vision*, 16(7), 1–17.

Todd, J. T., Christensen, J. C., & Guckes, K. M. (2010). Are discrimination thresholds a valid measure of variance for judgments of slant from texture? *Journal of Vision*, 10(2):20, 1–18.

Todd, J. T., Egan, E. J. L., & Kallie, C. S. (2015). The darker-is-deeper heuristic for the perception of 3D shape from shading: is it perceptually or ecologically valid? *Journal of Vision*, 15(11):24, 1–9.

Uejima, T., Niebur, E., & Etienne-Cummings, R. (2020). Proto-Object Based Saliency Model With Texture Detection Channel. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience*, 14, 84.